UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS Board of Studies (BoS) Meeting Template Under Review/ Annual Review

Faculty/Centre

Note: While submitting this report, please provide the following information by referring to the Terms of Reference (ToR) of the Board of Studies (BoS) of the relevant UoM QA Policies.

Date Board of Studies held:	
Venue:	
Programme Title (s):	
	• • • • • • • • • •
Programme Level : Diploma □ Undergraduate □ Post Graduate □ Full-Ti	me 🗆
Part-Time	
Programme under Review or Annual Review:	
Under Review Annual review	
Please specify the time of the Last Update:	
Programme Type : Fully Face to Face \Box Blended \Box Fully online \Box]
Type of Board of Studies : Departmental	у 🗆
MEMBERS PRESENT:	
1 Chairperson	
2	
3	
4.	
5. Secretary: Administrative Officer	
Apologies/Members absent	

MINUTES OF MEETINGS

AGENDA/OBJECTIVES OF THE BoS: (*Please refer to the Terms of Reference of the BoS*)

DISCUSSIONS

The different key points under this Section of Discussions can be subdivided into subsections including:

- Achievement of programme aims and learning outcomes [Question 1]
- Cohort (progression) analysis [Questions 2 to 5 and 12]
- Evaluation and Review [Questions 6 and 7]
- Resources [Questions 9 and 11]
- Key Changes and events [Question 13 and 14]

In light of the above, please answer the following:

PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AND FILL IN THE APPROPRIATE BOXES:

1. Programme Aims and Learning Outcomes

Please summarise briefly in this box how the SFQ recommendations have been addressed, i.e., the changes which have been made and if students have been or will be informed of changes made.

2. Has the Programme Monitoring Report (PMR) been considered at this Board of Studies?* Note: The MMR is filled by the Lecturer/Module Coordinator and submitted to the Programme Coordinator (PC). The PC works on the PMR and submits same to the HoD for the BoS in line with the Quality Assurance Document.	Yes	No
3. Did the PMR consider the MMRs submitted by <u>all</u> Lecturers/Module Coordinators of the Programme?	Yes	No
For 2 or 3 above, please elaborate on what has been considered and what has not been considered.		

4. Have any Student Feedback Questionnaire (SFQ) recommendations been taken on board during the BoS? Tick the appropriate boxes.	Yes	No □
• Use of student feedback: Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4		

Please summarise briefly in this box how the SFQ recommendations have been addressed, i.e., the changes which have been made and if students have been or will be informed of changes made.

5. Have any External Examiners' recommendations been taken on board? Tick the appropriate boxes.	Yes	No □
• Recommendations by External Examiner (if applicable):		
Year 1 Vear 2 Vear 3 Year 4		
Please summarise briefly in this box how the recommendations have been addressed, i.e., the change been made and if the External Examiner has been/will be informed of changes made.	s which	1 have

6. Has any Employers' Needs Survey/First Graduate Destinations' survey been undertaken? Tick the appropriate box.	Yes	No
Please summarise how has the Employability of the Programme been enhanced.		
-Who was responsible to undertaking the Survey?		
-What was the duration of the Study?		

7. Has any Graduate Profiles/attributes, skill, and competencies framework been considered	Yes	No
to address the needs of the modern job market?		
Please elaborate.		

8. Have the availability of Resources (Human, Material and Infrastructural) been discussed?	Yes	No
Please summarise below, how the additional human, infrastructural and material resources will be ad	ldresse	d.

9. Programme Benchmarking	Yes	No
If yes to the above, please include information about benchmarking done with comparative is programme (preferably programmes from top universities in the world).	interna	utional

10. Use of Technology	Yes	No □
Please summarise, how has the use of Technology tools been addressed in this Programme, and what expected benefits to the public and society at large.	are the	future

11. Has clearance been obtained from the Professional Body for any changes proposed? Tick the appropriate box.	Yes	No	NA
Please specify if applicable and summarise here.			

12. Were views of the Student Representative(s) taken on board during the meeting? Tick the appropriate box.	Yes	No
Please summarise views taken on board and addressed.		

13. Have changes been brought to the Programme Structure?	Yes	No
If yes to the above, please list briefly changes brought to the structure.		

14. Are the changes Major or Minor? Please list down the Programme Title (s) and Tick as appropriate in the table below.				
Programme Title (s)	Minor Changes <25%	Major Changes >25%		

15. Does the programme cover any of the SDGs of the United Nations (UN)?	Yes	No
Please specify which SDGs are covered and the future expected benefits to the public and so Note entrepreneurship is linked to SDGs 4 and 8. SDG target 4.4 aims to substantially increase the number of who have relevant skills for employment and entrepreneurship. Please highlight if the programment entrepreneurship component in light of the UoM new vision.	youth an	d adults

16. Will an Advisory Committee be required?	Yes	No

17. Conclusions and overall recommendations of the BoS.

- What are strengths and weaknesses of the programme?

Vetted and signed by the Head of Department:	Date:
- Which areas need future consideration for the next r	

*Notes:

- 1. For the PMR, certain fields in the summary section could be auto generated (pre-populated) like an MMR. E.g, Programme Coordinator, Department, Faculty, etc, thus simplifying the process and can be.....
- 2. The list of Academics teaching the module could be automatically retrieved by the system based on the *MMRs* filed.
- 3. Statistical data and student numbers should be generated by the system given the system is linked to MIS.