CHAPTER 8

REGULATIONS FOR FINAL YEAR PROJECTS/ DISSERTATIONS

8.1 INTRODUCTION

As part requirement for the award of undergraduate certificate/ diploma/ degree and taught postgraduate degree at the University of Mauritius, a project culminating in the submission of a dissertation must normally be carried out by students in their final year of study.

The project/ dissertation is a module that provides the students with the opportunity to design, undertake or conduct an independent piece of research or study related to their Programme of Study under the guidance of a supervisor, who is normally a member of the academic staff (full-time or part-time). Other qualified supervisors may also be appointed subject to approval by the Head of Department and Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre.

A 'Project' is an investigative undertaking, a structured, organised experiential learning including design work, field work or other placement learning. A 'Project' leads to a 'dissertation' that is assessed.

The 'Dissertation' is a comprehensive description of the aims, objectives of the project, a review of the literature on the subject matter, the investigation/ planning and methodology, the results and findings, and concrete recommendations and conclusions.

The dissertation is a major document that reflects the skills of the student to investigate critically a topic/ problem, the ability to gather and analyse information, and to present and discuss the results /investigation concisely and clearly.

The project/ dissertation carries 3-12 UoM credits or 6-24 LCCS credits depending on the Programme of Study. The number of UoM /LCCS credits is reflected in the amount of time a student is expected to devote to the project/ dissertation. Typically a project/ dissertation of 12 UoM credits or 24 LCCS credits will require about 720 notional learning hours. The project/ dissertation normally lasts two semesters or as stated otherwise in the Programme of Study, and may involve practical work.

The regulations outlined in this document apply to final year projects/ dissertations of both undergraduate and taught postgraduate Programmes.

8.2 IDENTIFICATION AND ALLOCATION OF PROJECTS/ DISSERTATIONS

Depending on the Programme(s) of Study, project/ dissertation titles are proposed/ identified either by students or by academic staff/ supervisors before the beginning of the semester or a few weeks after the beginning of the semester in which the project/ dissertation should start.

The two main criteria that must drive a project/ dissertation proposal are:

- (i) Feasibility of the project/ dissertation (time, supervision, cost implication, availability of equipment, chemicals and literature)
- (ii) Academic challenge

To administer and allocate project/ dissertation titles to students, a **Project/ Dissertation Coordinator**, i.e. the coordinator for the module project/ dissertation, is appointed by the Department/ Centre for each Programme of Study. In some Programmes of Study, the final year Programme Coordinator acts as the Project/ Dissertation Coordinator.

General enquiries about the organisation of projects/ dissertations should be addressed to the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator whereas specific enquiries about individual projects/ dissertations should be directed to the Project/ Dissertation supervisor.

The Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator and the Head of Department should ensure that all academic staff of the relevant Department get a **fair** share of the number of projects. The number of projects/ dissertations allocated to an academic staff of any Department shall be limited to a maximum of five¹ irrespective of whether they are individual or group projects. For co-supervised projects, the supervision load will be considered as half for each supervisor. Any supervision in excess of five projects/ dissertations should be approved by the Head of Department, the Dean of Faculty/ Centre Director and the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Academia).

The number of supervisors per project/ dissertation shall be restricted to a maximum of two.

A non-UoM academic staff (e.g. part-time staff or qualified staff from other institutions) can supervise a maximum number of five projects/ dissertations, preferably acting as co-supervisor together with a UoM full-time academic staff.

Allocation of projects/ dissertations normally takes place before or at the beginning of the semester of final year when the project/ dissertation is to be carried out. Project/ Dissertation allocation should be completed not later than **four** weeks after the start of the semester of the final year when the project/ dissertation is to be carried out.

Once the allocation of Project Supervisors/ Project Titles has been finalised, a final list of projects/ dissertations with the names of supervisors and students prepared by the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator is affixed on the Faculty/ Centre Notice Board(s). A copy is also sent to the Faculty/ Centre Registry.

8.2.1 Projects/ Dissertations proposed by Students

For projects/ dissertations proposed/ identified by students, the latter are required to discuss the proposal with potential supervisors from within or outside the department. The project/ dissertation title has to be approved by the potential supervisor(s) and the Department.

Students are required to submit a synopsis (less than two A4 pages) within one month as from the beginning of Semester 1 at latest, which should include the following minimum information:

- (i) Title;
- (ii) Aims and Objectives;
- (iii) Brief Literature Review;

¹One project is defined as project undertaken by either one student or a group of two or three students.

- (iv) Methodology outlines the procedures/ methodology/ experimental design that the student intends to use;
- (v) Expected Output;
- (vi) Time Framework a clear time schedule of the project/ dissertation and writing up of the dissertation;
- (vii) Costing (if applicable).

The synopsis should be signed by the supervisor(s) and submitted to the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator.

In some Programmes of Study, the students will have to submit their synopsis to the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator together with a list of three preferred project/ dissertation supervisors in order of priority. The Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator then allocates the supervisor(s) for each project/ dissertation according to field chosen, choice of supervisor of student and expertise of supervisor.

After approval by the Department, a final list of projects/ dissertations with the names of supervisors and students prepared by the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator is affixed on the Faculty/ Centre Notice Board(s). A copy is also sent to the Faculty/ Centre Registry.

8.2.2 Projects/ Dissertations proposed by Academic Staff or other Supervisors

The Head of Department requests all academic staff concerned to submit a list of project/ dissertation titles/ themes to the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator.

A list of project/ dissertation titles/ themes available for the Programme of Study is then prepared by the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator with the input provided by the academic staff and potential supervisors. A departmental meeting is held to finalise the list of projects/ dissertations and the list is then affixed on the Faculty/ Centre Notice Board(s).

Students are given the opportunity to meet the supervisors to be informed about the various projects/ dissertations/ themes proposed. The students are thereafter requested to list at least five-ten projects/ dissertations in order of priority and to submit their choices to the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator in one-two weeks' time.

Based on students' choices, the projects/ dissertations are allocated by the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator. After approval by the Department/ Centre, a final list of projects/ dissertations with the names of supervisors and students prepared by the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator is affixed on the Faculty/ Centre Notice Board(s). A copy is also sent to the Faculty/ Centre Registry. Note that a student may not be automatically allocated his/her preferred project/ dissertation as the latter may be over-subscribed.

If there are difficulties in allocating projects/ dissertations, a departmental meeting is called to thrash out the matter. In the ultimate event that the allocation of the project/ dissertation is deadlocked, then only the students' CPA shall be taken as a last resort to allocate a particular project/ dissertation to the appropriate student.

8.2.3 Projects/ Dissertations proposed by Students and Academic Staff or other Supervisors

In some Programmes of Study, projects/ dissertations may either be proposed by students or by academic staff or other supervisors. The same procedures as outlined in sections **8.2.1** and **8.2.2** should be followed.

Students should be encouraged as far as possible to come up with their own project/dissertation proposals.

8.3 UNDERTAKING THE PROJECT/ DISSERTATION

Students are normally expected to start working on the project/ dissertation after the allocation. However, projects/ dissertations requiring experimental work to be carried out within or outside the UoM premises would need the prior approval of the supervisor(s).

Students should fill in the Project Proposal/ Synopsis (Annex 1) and the Project Progress Log (Annex 2) and same should be attached to the dissertation in a permanent manner in order for the dissertation to be considered as receivable. However there would be flexibility at Departmental level given the specificities of each Department and its area of specialisation as to which parts of the Project Proposal/ Synopsis should be filled in.

8.3.1 Responsibilities of the Supervisor

Each project/ dissertation will be supervised by a member of staff, either full-time or part-time. Some projects/ dissertations, due to their inherent nature (e.g. multidisciplinary) may be supervised by a maximum of two supervisors.

A supervisor should normally not be appointed for the project/ dissertation of a student who is directly related to him/her to ensure impartiality. The onus to declare any such relation with a final year student rests with the supervisor. In case there is divergence, the Dean/ Director will be called to arbitrate.

The supervisor(s) shall monitor, support and direct the student's work and progress soon after the allocation of project/ dissertation titles.

The responsibilities of the supervisor include:

- (i) Proposing/ supervising projects/ dissertations in their own subject area;
- (ii) Briefing the students and apprising them of the regulations pertaining to the final year projects/ dissertations;
- (iii) Setting a framework for regular scheduled progress meeting between supervisor(s) and student;
- (iv) Giving frequent feedback/ comments on progress achieved by the student;
- (v) Giving guidance about relevant literature on the topic under study and appropriate literature sources;
- (vi) Providing advice on issues of plagiarism, in line with the University Regulations;
- (vii) Assisting in the identification of a research methodology, planning and execution of the research project/ dissertation (if applicable);

- (viii) Giving guidance on the approach for appropriate analysis of data obtained, interpretation and presentation of results (if applicable);
- (ix) Giving guidance about the formulation of an appropriate hypothesis-driven research project/ dissertation and focusing on the objectives of the research (if applicable);
- (x) Assisting in the preparation of a viable/ feasible research proposal (synopsis), inclusive of a time schedule and a project costing before embarking on the study (if applicable);
- (xi) Providing guidance on issues of laboratory safety (if applicable);
- (xii) Giving guidance on the writing of the different chapters of the dissertation and communicating the standard expected;
- (xiii) Advising on the preparation of the oral presentation and/or poster (if applicable).

Note: In the event there are Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) issues pertaining to the project/ dissertation, the project supervisor shall sign an undertaking as per annexed **NDA 01 Form**.

8.3.2 Responsibilities of the Student

Throughout the whole project/ dissertation work, the student shall seek advice, comments and guidance from his/her supervisor(s) on the nature of the project/ dissertation work and standard expected. Students are advised to keep a notebook for the purpose of the meeting with supervisor(s) while the supervisor(s) may wish to keep a brief record of each meeting held.

The responsibilities of the student include:

- (i) Reading the UoM Regulations for Final Year projects/ dissertations and any project/ dissertation Guidelines prepared by the Department/ Faculty/ Centre;
- (ii) Reading and abiding by the regulations of the University on Plagiarism and Fabrication or Falsification of Result(s)/ Document(s);
- (iii) Arranging with his/her supervisor(s) mutually agreed convenient times to discuss progress achieved (in the event that meetings are not possible, e-mails or other forms of communication may be used);
- (iv) Bringing to the urgent attention of the supervisor(s) any problems (academic and personal) associated with progress;
- (v) Responding to the supervisor's suggestions and/or criticisms on his/her work and progress;
- (vi) Following all laboratory safety guidelines (if applicable);
- (vii) Discussing the layout of the final dissertation with the supervisor(s) prior to the writing-up stage;
- (viii) Writing the dissertation on his/her own and planning the writing-up so as to give the supervisor(s) adequate time to read the preliminary draft copies and to provide guided and motivated feedback well ahead of the submission date;
- (ix) Adhering to the time schedule specified in the project/ dissertation proposal (synopsis) as agreed with the supervisor(s);
- (x) Working diligently and becoming an independent learner;
- (xi) Keeping all raw data/ questionnaires/ survey forms.

If the student is unable to contact his/her supervisor, s/he should contact the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator for assistance.

The onus is on the student/s to contact the Project Supervisor regularly for discussion and guidance. Failure to do so shall lead to the dissertation being unreceivable by the Faculty/ Centre.

8.3.3 Others

Any problem encountered by the student during the project/ dissertation should be discussed with the supervisor(s). If the matter cannot be resolved, any problem(s) encountered during the supervision of the Project/ Dissertation should be brought immediately to the attention of the Project Coordinator, Programme Coordinator, Head of Department and the Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre and the Supervisor shall submit any recommendation(s) well before the time of submission/ assessment, for any corrective action to be taken, if necessary.

8.4 DISSERTATION

The work carried out during the project/ dissertation module should be submitted in the form of a dissertation written by the student. The dissertation should be typed and may include relevant diagrams, figures, photographs, illustrations, tables and appendices.

8.4.1 Structure

The dissertation should consist of preliminaries, main text and references/ appendices.

8.4.1.1 Preliminaries

The preliminaries should abide by the following order, wherever applicable:

> Title Page

The title page of the dissertation shall give the following information in the order listed:

- (i) Full title of the project/ dissertation as approved by the Department/ Faculty/ Centre:
- (ii) The full name of the author;
- (iii) The qualification for which the dissertation is submitted;
- (iv) The name of the institution to which the dissertation is submitted;
- (v) The Department and/or Faculty/ Centre in which the project/ dissertation is to be submitted; and
- (vi) The month and year of submission.

> Table of Contents

The Table of Contents shall list in sequence with page numbers all chapters, sections/ headings, sub-sections/ headings and other sub-divisions of the dissertation, appendices, etc.

> List of Tables, Figures, Plates/ Schemes

Separate lists of each, in the above order, giving number and page reference.

> Acknowledgements

All persons (e.g. supervisor(s), technical staff, friends, relatives) and organisation/authorities who/ which have helped in the realisation of the project/ dissertation shall be acknowledged.

> Project/ Dissertation Declaration Form

The form should be duly filled and it should be included just after the acknowledgement in the Dissertation.

> Abstract

The abstract constitutes an up to one-page executive summary, which provides a brief outline of the objectives, scope of the project/ dissertation, the methodology used, the main findings and results achieved and any conclusions and recommendations made.

> List of Abbreviations

This section should list in alphabetical order all abbreviations used in the dissertation.

8.4.1.2 Main Text

The main text of the dissertation should start with an introduction followed by chapters, subdivided into sections and sub-sections.

Depending on Programmes of Study, appropriate chapters may include amongst others: Literature Review, Materials and Methods (or Methodology), Results, Discussion, Data Analysis, Conclusions and Recommendations.

Any table, figure, plate, scheme or illustration included in the dissertation should be clearly labeled. The caption should be placed at the top of tables but below figures/plates/ schemes/ illustrations.

8.4.1.3 References/Appendices

The list of references and appendices should follow the main text.

The appendices shall consist of any base material, which would break the flow of the dissertation due to its length or partial irrelevance. Some examples are:

- > Specifications and data sheets of equipment from suppliers;
- > Correspondence from suppliers and company;
- > Raw data;
- > Survey sheets;
- > Charts and data tables from books;
- > Lengthy mathematical derivations;
- > Copies of drawings of equipment.

All appendices should be listed in sequence, i.e. Appendix 1, 2, 3, etc.

8.4.2 Physical Format and Layout

8.4.2.1 Cover and Binding

The dissertation shall be spiral-bound with a protective plastic front cover and a stiff card back-cover for both undergraduate and postgraduate programmes.

8.4.2.2 Paper and Typographical Detail

The dissertation must be typed, justified and printed on both sides of the paper using:

- (i) 1½ line spacing, and
- (ii) characters not less than 10 pt, font type 'Times New Roman' or 'Arial'.

Good quality A4 white paper should be used for the printing and for xerographic copies.

The left margin used for binding shall not be less than 40 mm while all other margins shall not be less than 20 mm.

8.4.2.3 Pagination

Pages shall be numbered consecutively throughout the dissertation, starting with the title page, including appendices.

Roman numerals (i, ii, iii, ...) shall be used for the front pages (Preliminaries) and Arabic numerals (1, 2, 3, ...) as from the 'Introduction' section onwards.

Page numbers shall be located centrally at the bottom of the page, approximately 10 mm above the edge.

If there is more than one volume, each volume shall have its own pagination.

8.4.3 Referencing

Each student should adhere to the system of referencing as per "The University of Mauritius Referencing Guide" when writing up the dissertation. The Referencing Guide will normally be based on the Harvard System. However, the AMS and IEEE are also acceptable.

Students and staff are advised to refer to 'The University of Mauritius Referencing Guide' available on the UoM website and at the Faculty/ Centre Registry.

8.4.4 Plagiarism

It is important that the students adhere to the standard conventions for the referencing of other people's work. In particular, the dissertation should clearly mention any work that is not the work of the student, whenever such work is presented.

A project/ dissertation must be the student's own work and must not contain any plagiarised material. Use of plagiarised material will be treated as a disciplinary offence under breach of examination regulations (Refer to Chapter 7 on Plagiarism).

8.4.5 Submission of Dissertation

8.4.5.1 Undergraduate & Postgraduate Programmes

Students are required to submit an e-copy of their dissertation to their Project/Dissertation Supervisors through google class at latest by 5.00 p.m. on date specified in the Calendar of Activities, unless specified otherwise in the Programme of Study or by Senate.

The google class will be created by the Project/Dissertation Supervisor.

The e-copy of the project/dissertation (main body i.e. Introduction up to the Conclusion or last chapter) should be uploaded on the Turnitin Platform, as a single PDF file in the appropriate class/assignment (final dissertation) provided by the Project/Dissertation Supervisor. In case a student is allocated a Part-Time Supervisor, the class is to be created by the Programme/Project/Dissertation Coordinator.

The Supervisor should certify that the work was undertaken by the student (an email from the Supervisor will be acceptable to the Programme Coordinator).

In lieu of submitting any forms, students should include a paragraph at the beginning of the dissertation whereby s/he declares as follows:

On submission of my dissertation to the UoM, I solemnly declare that:

- a. I have read and understood the sections on "Plagiarism and Fabrication and Falsification of Results" found in the University's Regulations Handbook (2020/2021) and certify that the dissertation embodies the results of my own work.
- b. I have submitted a soft copy of my dissertation through the Turnitin Platform.
- c. I have adhered to the "Harvard system of referencing" or a system acceptable as per "The University of Mauritius Referencing Guide" for referencing, quotations and citations in my dissertation. Each contribution to, and quotation and citations in my dissertation. Each contribution to, and quotation in my dissertation from the work of other people has been attributed, and has cited and referenced.
- d. I have not allowed and will not allow anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as his/her own work.
- e. I am aware that I may have to forfeit the certificate/diploma/degree in the event that plagiarism has been detected after the award.
- f. Notwithstanding the supervision provided to me by the University of Mauritius, I warrant that any alleged act(s) of plagiarism during my stay as a registered student of the University of Mauritius is entirely my own responsibility and the University of Mauritius and/or its employees shall under no circumstances whatsoever be under any liability of any kind in respect of the aforesaid act(s) of plagiarism.

For dissertation which contains materials for IPR/Commercialisation, the following should be included:

g. I hereby inform the Faculty/Centre that my dissertation/thesis contains materials for IPR/Commercialisation.

8.4.5.2 Project Progress Log (Log Book)

Please refer to Section 8.3.

FLOWCHART FOR THE SUBMISSION OF DISSERTATION THROUGH THE TURNITIN PLATFORM

Create Class in Turnitin: Project/ Dissertation Supervisor* creates one (1) class and five (5) Assignments [1 for work-in progress, 2 for draft(s), 1 for final & 1 for late submission] on the Turnitin Platform for all his/her dissertation students, at the beginning of the Academic Year. For supervisors having at least one dissertation containing materials for IPR/ Commercialisation Issues, two additional assignments shall be created. Students have access to view the Turnitin originality report for all their submissions.


Work in progress: Student uploads** his/her work-in-progress on the Turnitin Platform at any time.

Draft dissertation: Student uploads** his/her draft dissertation in the first draft assignment submission link. The student can amend his/her dissertation, if required and re-submit in the second draft assignment submission link. The student amends dissertation, if required.

Final dissertation: Student uploads** final dissertation in the final assignment submission link created by Project/ Dissertation Supervisor* on the Turnitin Platform.

Late submission: In case of late submission, student uploads** final dissertation in the late submission link created by Project/ Dissertation Supervisor* on the Turnitin Platform.

Evaluation: The Project/ Dissertation Supervisor* accesses the Turnitin Reports and forwards same to the Programme/ Project Coordinator for onward transmission to the second Examiner/ Assessor.



Refer to Chapter 7 of the Regulations for Procedure pertaining to Plagiarism

Note:

- 1) *In case students are allocated Part-Time Supervisor(s), the class is to be created by the Programme/ Project/ Dissertation Coordinator.
- 2) **Students should access Turnitin platform using G Suite (@umail.uom.ac.mu) account.
- 3) Similarity index in Turnitin should not be interpreted as the % of Plagiarism as referred to in Chapter 7.
- 4) Project/Dissertation Supervisor must ensure that Work-in-Progress, draft(s), final and late submission assignments are created in the same Class.
- 5) Dissertation in the context of the flowchart refers to the main body of the dissertation comprising of the Introduction up to the Conclusion or last Chapter.
- 6) Late Submission Assignment link will be accessible for a duration of 10 working days after the official deadline for submission of dissertation.

8.4.5.3 Extension of Project Submission

Only under exceptional circumstances (e.g. medical reason or major equipment breakdown) will extension be granted. Extension request must be submitted in writing to the Dean of Faculty/ Centre Director through the Head of Department, Programme Coordinator and Project/ Dissertation Coordinator outlining the reasons for the request. The extension should be approved by the Faculty/ Centre Board. Such extension should normally not exceed one (1) month.

8.4.6 Length of Dissertation

Length of dissertation is normally indicated. The number of words in the final year project/ dissertation shall be between 6,000-8,000 for an undergraduate Certificate/ Diploma Programme, 8,000-12,000 for an undergraduate degree Programme and 10,000-14,000 for a taught postgraduate degree Programme, unless specified otherwise in the Programme of Study.

The number of words applies only to the main body of the dissertation including all footnotes, references, tables, schemes and figures. Hence, material before the main body, that is, table of contents, list of figures, list of tables, list of schemes, declaration form, acknowledgement, abstract, etc. and material after the main body, that is, list of references and appendices should not be included in the word count.

<u>Note</u>: The word count is to be performed using any word processor and included in the 'Project/ Dissertation Submission Form' or 'Group Project/ Dissertation Submission Form', as applicable.

8.4.6.1 Penalties for Exceeding the Word Limit or being below the Required Number of Words

During marking, students should be assessed for the quality and substance of the research work, which should be given academic importance/recognition.

Writing to a specific word length encourages the development of certain skills such as the ability to present arguments and ideas concisely, and the ability to construct a coherent structure and argument.

The word length is a binding regulation and consequently all students must record the length of their dissertation in the '**Project/ Dissertation Submission Form**'. A student will be penalised for submitting a dissertation which is above or below the required number of words.

Penalties for words in excess/ below the word limit, specified for a Programme of Study, will be included in the Evaluation Criteria/ Marking Scheme.

8.4.7 Group Projects/ Dissertations

In some Programmes of Study, projects/ dissertations are carried out in groups due to large cohorts, the nature of the subject or to encourage teamwork.

A maximum of three (3) students per group is allowed. The supervisor(s) should ensure that each student in the group is given individual work apart from the common group work.

At the end of the project/ dissertation, there are two possibilities as follows:

- (i) where there are small cohorts (\leq 50), the students submit <u>individual</u> dissertations and are assessed separately;
- (ii) where there are large cohorts (> 50), they submit one (1) group dissertation and are assessed as a group in which case the students obtain normally the same marks for the project/ dissertation.

Students who submit one (1) group dissertation as at (ii) above, should fill in the 'Group Project/ Dissertation Submission Form' in which their individual contributions are indicated.

If the 'Group Project/ Dissertation Submission Form' reflects an unequal contribution from the students to the project/ dissertation, the supervisor jointly with the second assessor/ examiner will assess the students through presentations or viva-voce to ascertain their respective contribution and amend their marks accordingly.

Group Projects/ Dissertations will be assessed using the same criteria as for individual projects/ dissertations as per section 8.6.

Irrespective of the above, the department and the student may decide on the submission of individual or group dissertations even if the work has been carried out in group, if they deem same to be more appropriate.

In cases where there are disagreement and divergence in opinion between members of the group during the project/ dissertation work, the matter should be referred immediately to the supervisor(s), Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator, Head of Department or Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre for any appropriate action so that no student is penalised.

8.4.8 Late Submission

(i) All dissertations in the final version should be submitted to the Faculty/ Centre Registry by due dates.

Late submission will only be accepted over 10 working days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays) after the official deadline for submission of Dissertations, as laid down in the Regulations.

- (ii) A penalty will be imposed for each day of late submission, over the 10 working days as follows:
 - (a) The 1st day of late submission will entail a penalty of <u>4 marks deducted</u> from the total dissertation mark obtained (*over 100*).
 - (b) Each additional day will then entail a <u>cumulative</u> penalty of 2 marks/day deducted from the total dissertation mark obtained (over 100).
 - (c) After the 10th day, the Dissertation will be deemed unreceivable (i.e. will not be accepted by the Faculty/ Centre Registry) and will be allotted '0' mark.

Note: Failure to submit the Project/ Dissertation through the Turnitin Platform will result in the project/ dissertation of the student, whether the bound copy or soft copy, being unreceivable.

- (iii) Faculty/ Centre Registries will send official notes to all students who have <u>not</u> submitted their Dissertations within the 10 days penalty period, for record purposes.
- (iv) Students with late submissions but having genuine cases of lateness which are beyond their control, will have to submit evidence to the Dean's/ Director's Office prior to the 10 days penalty period, for Boards of Faculty/ Centre Board to consider their case(s).
- (v) The *modus operandi* of the linear penalty system is indicated below; an example of a student scoring 60 marks (over 100) in the Dissertation, with late submission is also indicated:

Day of Late Submission	Penalty (Marks)	Marks (over 100)
Day 1	4	56
Day 2	6	54
Day 3	8	52
Day 4	10	50
Day 5	12	48
Day 6	14 / #	46
Day 7	16	44
Day 8	18	42
Day 9	20	40
Day 10	22	38

Cumulative 2 marks (over 100) per day.

8.5 ASSESSMENT

After submission of the dissertation, the latter will be assessed by the student's supervisor(s) and one other examiner/ assessor chosen by the Department/ Centre, in collaboration with the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator.

In the event that the supervisor is a part-time staff, then the second examiner/ assessor should be an academic staff of the University. Both the supervisor and the second examiner/ assessor cannot be from outside the University.

The assessment of the final year project/ dissertation is based mainly on the written dissertation but may also be based on *viva-voce* and/or poster presentation by the student. Each project/ dissertation will be subject to **double blind marking**, i.e. each assessor will submit his/her marks independently.

The External Examiner will only review the final year project/ dissertation (Refer to section 8.5.4). Soft copies of final year projects/ dissertations to be reviewed can be sent to external examiners who wish to have them prior to their visit to the University. It is advised that for security reasons, conversion to PDF should be carried out by the student.

The student's supervisor(s) will mark the project/ dissertation out of 100 marks and the second examiner/ assessor will also mark the project/ dissertation out of 100 marks. The mark awarded will be inclusive of any *viva-voce*, and poster presentation by the student, if applicable.

Depending on Programmes of Study, the weighting of the marks of the student's supervisor(s) and the second examiner/ assessor may vary from 40-60%.

For example:

Programme of Study A (Weighting supervisor/second assessor: 50/50)

Mark given by student's supervisor(s): 68

Mark given by second assessor: 60

Mark obtained by student: $(68 \times 0.5) + (60 \times 0.5) = 64$

Programme of Study B (Weighting supervisor/second assessor: 60/40)

Mark given by student's supervisor(s): 68

Mark given by second assessor: 60

Mark obtained by student: $(68 \times 0.6) + (60 \times 0.4) = 65$ (rounded to nearest whole

number)

Programme of Study C (Weighting supervisor/second assessor: 40/60)

Mark given by student's supervisor(s): 68

Mark given by second assessor: 60

Mark obtained by student: $(68 \times 0.4) + (60 \times 0.6) = 63$ (rounded to nearest whole

number)

8.5.1 Marking Scheme

The examiners' assessment of the project/ dissertation work will be based on a variety of features. These include amongst others: understanding; the standard of presentation and grammar; the adequacy of the literature survey and data research (as appropriate to the particular project/ dissertation); the correct usage of referencing system; the extent to which the stated aims of the project/ dissertation have been satisfied, taking account of the overall difficulty of the material that has been tackled; ability to use graphic packages, spreadsheets and statistics; ability to explain findings; interpretation of data and results; integration with literature; originality; practical ability; the ability to manage time and make progress without excessively detailed guidance from the supervisor; and the capacity that has been shown for independent work.

Each Programme of Study may have its own dissertation/ project assessment form with its marking scheme. Some examples of marking schemes are illustrated.

Examples of Marking Schemes

Marking Scheme A

Criteria Marks Definition of the Problem /10 Literature Review /20 /10 Methodology Analysis of Findings /30 Recommendations and Conclusion /20 Presentation and Originality /10 Total /100

Marking Scheme B

Criteria	Marks
Definition of Theme/ Topic	/10
Presentation and Lay-out	/10
Language, Style	/20
Research Methodology/ Originality	/20
Analysis of Theme/ Data	/30
Conclusion	/10
Total	/100

Marking Scheme C

Mark (x%)	Criteria
≥ 80	Clear evidence of original thinking, demonstration of ability to logically develop a synthesis of findings, including theoretical ideas and incorporating evidence for statements made. Outstanding grasp, and critical/analytical evaluation of relevant literature. Very good ability to collate results. Insightful analysis leading to valid conclusions and recommendations. Expression, style of presentation and grammar of high standard. Potentially publishable as a journal paper with minor revision.
70 ≤ x < 80	Evidence of original thinking, synthesis of ideas and themes from several sources. Very good ability to make critical use of relevant literature. Substantive analysis of data and expertly presented in clear diagrams and/or tables. Well-argued and written. Valid conclusions. Expression, style of presentation and grammar of high standard.
$60 \le x < 70$	Evidence of clear thinking. Good critical and analytical ability but lacking the creativity, rigour, substance, fluency and critical quality of a first class project. Good ability to make critical use of relevant literature. Good analysis of data. Relevant conclusions. Expression, style of presentation and grammar of moderate standard.
$50 \le x < 60$	Evidence of some critical and analytical ability. Satisfactory use and understanding of relevant literature. Satisfactory analysis of data and conclusions. Expression, style of presentation and grammar of moderate standard.
40 ≤ x < 50	Almost lacking in critical analysis and original thinking. Basic understanding of relevant literature. Superficial analysis of data and conclusions. Expression, style of presentation and grammar often poor (e.g. unstructured paragraphs, spelling/ typing errors, low quality illustration, repetitive).
$30 \le x < 40$	Poor critical ability. Rudimentary understanding of relevant literature. Insufficient quality and quantity of data either due to deficient research plan or lack of effort. Poor evaluation of results. Lacking in conclusions. Expression, style of presentation and grammar often poor.
x < 30	Very little or no evidence that student has identified issues relevant to project/ dissertation. Lack of coherence.

Marking Scheme D

Marking Scheme E

Criteria	Marks	Criteria	Marks	
Relevance and Objectives	/5	Abstract	/5	
Relevant and well defined	Is the abstract a concise summary of the			
objectives? Are they achievable?		dissertation?		
Innovativeness?				
Framework/References	/10	Topic Definition	/5	
Library and Internet sources well		Does the dissertation offer a clear		
used?		exposition of its aims and objectives?		
		Does the dissertation offer an insight of		
		the importance, applicability, relevance,		
		etc. of the topic?		
Method	/10	Written/ Style Layout	/7.5	
Adequate method used and the		Does the dissertation address issues like		
choice motivated		grammar, style of writing, clarity of		
		exposition, overall presentation (e.g.		
		graphs, figures, equations, symbols,		
		pagination, appendix, etc.) properly?		
Data Collection	/20	Use of Diagrams	/10	
Are surveys/ interviews or other		Are the diagrams and figures		
data collection method well done?		informative? Quality of the diagrams?		
Are alternative(s) discussed?				
Analysis	/15	Experimental	/20	
Is the analysis based on sound		Does the dissertation make out clearly the		
principles? Are statistical methods		use of methods and procedures of		
(if used) appropriately used?		required standard to present, analyse and		
		solve the problem defined?		
Validation and Discussion	/15	Analysis and Discussion	/30	
Has the validity of the results been		Does the dissertation include proper		
discussed? Is the discussion logical		statistical techniques and software? Is		
and persuasive?		there any evidence of critical use of the		
		relevant literature? Have the results/		
		findings been well argued and written? Is		
		there evidence of originality,		
		independent/ critical thought and		
	/1.7	reflective ability?	<i></i>	
Conclusions and	/15	Conclusion	/7.5	
Recommendations		Does the dissertation include a		
Are conclusions and		constructive conclusion with well-		
recommendations logical and		balanced arguments? Is there evidence of		
useful?		any realistic recommendation? Does the		
		conclusion offer any insights as to any		
		limitations of methods used and as to		
December 4 of Control	/10	future directions?	/7 5	
Presentation	/10	Bibliography	/7.5	
Overall quality of presentation;		Does the dissertation contain an adequate		
language, figures, tables, and ability		literature review? Correct usage of		
to answer questions/queries (viva-		referencing system?		
voce).	/100	Duagantation	/7 5	
Total	/100	Presentation Ovality of poster presentation and ability	/7.5	
		Quality of poster presentation and ability		
		to answer questions/ queries (viva-voce).	/100	
		Total	/100	

8.5.2 *Viva-voce* and Oral Presentation

Viva-voce examination is applicable to all Programmes of Study at the University of Mauritius (Undergraduate and Postgraduate); it normally assesses presentational skills and includes questions designed to:

- (i) ascertain that the dissertation embodies the student's own work;
- (ii) give the student an opportunity to defend the direction, structure and conclusions of the dissertation;
- (iii) acquire further clarification on any particular issues in the dissertation;
- (iv) test the student's acquaintance with the general literature pertaining to the subject.

The *viva-voce* done <u>during</u> the visit of the External Examiner should be conducted/carried out by the External Examiner. The latter may invite other staff to be present, in line with Section 8.5.4 of the Regulations.

As part of the assessment of dissertations, some Departments may conduct a *viva-voce* <u>prior</u> to the visit of the External Examiner. The *viva-voce* will be conducted by the second assessor [Depending on Programmes of Study, supervisor(s) and/or other examiners may be present].

Oral presentation(s) by students may also be carried out during the project/ dissertation work to ascertain the students' progress. However, the oral presentation(s) will not be assessed.

8.5.3 Poster Presentation

In some Programmes of Study, the student is requested to prepare a poster pertaining to his/her project/ dissertation as part requirement of the final year project/ dissertation module.

Posters provide information through the use of visuals in a well-coordinated and organised combination of text and illustration. Students are given a date by which to submit the poster and they are requested to be present next to their poster on the day of the presentation to answer the questions that the assessors (supervisor(s), second assessor and/or External Examiner) may have.

A good poster should:

- > be simple and informative;
- > be easy to read and understand with relevant legends;
- > be visually appealing and attractive to encourage people to read it;
- contain text and illustrative matter harmoniously combined to produce an effective presentation;
- > be prepared with the audience in mind.

A typical poster should include:

- > a brief introduction stating the problem;
- > the objectives of the study;
- > an outline of the methodology used;
- > presentation of the results and discussion;
- > conclusions and recommendations:

> major references, if necessary.

The poster size (e.g. one Bristol size paper (78 x 55 cm) or A0) should be specified by the Department/ Centre. The font may be either Arial or Times New Roman and the recommended font size is as follows:

Item	Font Size
Title of Poster	70
Subtitle	30
Text	24
Title of Tables and Illustrations	18

8.5.4 Review by External Examiners

Each External Examiner should be given a copy of the UoM regulations for final year projects/ dissertations and any guideline/ regulation prepared by the Department/ Faculty/ Centre.

The mark of the student's project/ dissertation will be the weighted average of the marks awarded by the supervisor(s) and the second assessor/ examiner. This mark may change after review by the External Examiner.

Where the marks of the supervisor(s) and second assessor for project/ dissertation differ by more than 10 marks, the Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinator will request the Supervisor/ Second Assessor to consult among themselves so as to arrive at an agreed mark. The consultation will be done after the assessment would have been done independently by the Supervisor and Second Assessor. The concept of blind marking is being maintained.

Conflicting cases or cases of grade difference in marks allocated by Supervisor and Second Assessor, if any, will be brought to the attention of the External Examiner(s).

In any case, External Examiners have the final say with respect to borderline cases (i.e. pertaining to borderline marks/ grades).

All the projects/ dissertations are made available to the External Examiner(s) for **review**. They are also provided with the marks awarded by the supervisor(s) and second assessor and the weighted average mark.

Depending on Programmes of Study and the number of projects/ dissertations, External Examiner(s) may either go through all the projects/ dissertations or a sample of projects. If a sample of projects/ dissertations is to be chosen, the External Examiner(s) may wish to examine some of the following:

- > at least one project/ dissertation from each Supervisor;
- > at least one project/ dissertation from each class of award;
- project/ dissertation of First Class students;
- > project/ dissertation of students with borderline marks/ class.

External Examiner(s) should normally review all failed projects/ dissertations and all projects/ dissertations where the marks of the supervisor(s) and second assessor for project/ dissertation differ by more than 10 marks.

The External Examiners should be given the opportunity to assess/ review any other Dissertation(s) which s/he wishes or as indicated by the Project/ Dissertation Coordinator/ Programme Coordinator/ Head of Department, over and above the provisions made above. External Examiners have the right to have access to all Dissertations which they wish to assess/ review.

Depending on Programmes of Study, External Examiner(s) may also be called upon to attend poster presentations by the students, where questions may be asked.

If *viva-voce* examination will be carried out by the External Examiner(s), a random sample of students, established by the Department/ Centre in consultation with the External Examiner(s) will be called for. Students concerned will be informed accordingly. The *viva-voce* examination (normal duration of 10-30 minutes) is carried out by the External Examiner(s). Depending on the latter, other staff (e.g. project/dissertation supervisor, second assessor, Head of Department) may be present.

If a student who has been selected for viva voce in the presence of the External Examiner fails to attend same, s/he may forgo the possibility of having his/her Dissertation marks raised, unless the External Examiner expresses serious concern on the need of a viva.

The final reviewed mark is used for the computation of the student's CPA or academic standing. The University expects disagreements between the internal and External Examiner(s) to be resolved through discussion. In the event of serious divergence of opinion between the Examiners, the recommendation of the External Examiner(s) shall be final, subject to approval by Senate (Refer to Document on External Examining of Undergraduate and Postgraduate Taught Degree Programmes available on: http://www.uom.ac.mu/index.php/centres-offices/quality-assurance).

8.6 SUBMISSION/ RE-SUBMISSION OF THE PROJECT/ DISSERTATION

A student who has failed his/her dissertation/ project must either submit a new or an amended one as decided by the Board of Examiners, Faculty/ Centre Board and Senate.

- (i) For a failed project/ dissertation with possibility of amendments, the resubmission should be effected at latest three (3) months following Senate's approval of results.
- (ii) For a failed project/ dissertation with recommendation for a new submission (with a new project/ dissertation with the same or preferably a new supervisor), submission should be effected at latest eight months (for undergraduate Programmes of Study) and thirteen (13) months (for Postgraduate Programmes of Study) following Senate's approval of results.

8.7 REVIEW OF PROJECTS/ DISSERTATIONS

Requests for review of projects/ dissertations from final year diploma, undergraduate and postgraduate students should be lodged **on-line on the Student e-Review System** (**SeRS**) within one (1) week of **accessing** the transcript **on-line**.within seven (7) working days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays) upon receipt of the transcript of examination results by filling in the 'Students Review Form' (SRF), available at the Examinations Office, and submitting same to the said Office. A fee is payable (Refer to Section 13.9). The whole amount will be refunded to the student if the latter's grade is improved following the review of his/her project/ dissertation.

The Examinations Office will inform the student of the outcome of his/her request for review **normally** within 4 weeks from the receipt of the application for review and take any action, if necessary, with respect to refund of the fee to the student (Refer to Section 6.13 with respect to review of examination scripts).





Nam	Name:				
Student ID:					
Prog	ramme of Study:				
Mod	ule Code/ Name:				
Title	of Project/ Dissertation:				
Nam	e of Supervisor(s):				
Decl	aration:				
	cordance with the appropriate regulations, I hereby submit the allare that:	pove dissertation for examination and			
(i)	I have read and understood the sections on "Plagiarism and Fabrication and Falsification of Results" found in the University's Regulations Handbook (20/20) and certify that the dissertation embodies the results of my own work.				
(ii)	I have no objection to submit a soft copy of my dissertation through the Turnitin Platform. I confirm that the hard copies and soft copy, submitted to the Faculty/ Centre Registry, and the soft copy (main body, i.e., Introduction up to the Conclusion or last Chapter) uploaded through Turnitin Platform are identical in content.				
(iii)	I have adhered to the "Harvard system of referencing" or a system acceptable as per "The University of Mauritius Referencing Guide" for referencing, quotations and citations in my dissertation. Each contribution to, and quotation in my dissertation from the work of other people has been attributed, and has been cited and referenced.				
(iv)	(iv) I have not allowed and will not allow anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as his/her own work.				
(v)	I am aware that I may have to forfeit the certificate/ diploma/ degree in the event that plagiarism has been detected after the award.				
(vi) Notwithstanding the supervision provided to me by the University of Mauritius, I warrant that any alleged act(s) of plagiarism during my stay as a registered student of the University of Mauritius is entirely my own responsibility and the University of Mauritius and/or its employees shall under no circumstances whatsoever be under any liability of any kind in respect of the aforesaid act(s) of plagiarism.					
Signature: Date:					



PROJECT/ DISSERTATION SUBMISSION FORM

This form must be completed and handed to the Faculty/ Centre Registry at the time of submission of the two spiral-bound copies and the soft copy of the dissertation in a single PDF text file on electronic storage media.

A. To be completed by the Student

Name:	
Student ID:	
Programme of Study:	
Module Code/ Name:	
Title of Project/ Dissertation:	
Word Count:	
I confirm that a soft copy of my final dissertation (main body i.e., Chapter) has been uploaded on the Turnitin Platform, as a sin assignment provided by the Project/ Dissertation Supervisor /Progr Coordinator and I have included the Digital Receipt in the dissertation	angle PDF file in the appropriate class/ amme Coordinator/ Project/ Dissertation
Signature:	Date:
Name(s):	
I take cognizance of the Digital Receipt which includes the submethrough the Turnitin Platform.	nission date and time of the dissertation
Signature:	Date:
This is to certify that Mr/Ms/Mrs	
onat the Faculty/ Centre Registry.	and the state of t
Officer's Name	Officer's Signature

GROUP PROJECT/ DISSERTATION DECLARATION FORM



ſ			=			
	Names:					
	Student	IDs:				
ĺ	Progran	nme of Study:				
	Module	Code/ Name:				
	Title of	Project/ Dissertation:				
	Name o	of Supervisor(s):				
	Declara	ation:				
		ordance with the appropriate regulations, we hereby ation and we declare that:	submit the above dissertation for			
	i.	We have read and understood the sections on " Plagiarism and Fabrication and Falsification of Results " found in the University's Regulations Handbook (20/20) and certify that the dissertation embodies the results of our own work.				
	ii.	We have no objection to submit a soft copy of our disser We confirm that the hard copies and soft copy, submitted the soft copy (main body, i.e., Introduction up to the C through Turnitin Platform are identical in content.	d to the Faculty/ Centre Registry, and			
	iii.	i. We have adhered to the "Harvard system of referencing" or a system acceptable as per "The University of Mauritius Referencing Guide" for referencing, quotations and citations in our dissertation. Each contribution to, and quotation in our dissertation from the work of other people has been attributed, and has been cited and referenced.				
	iv.	iv. We have not allowed and will not allow anyone to copy our work with the intention of passing it off as her/his own work.				
	v.	We are aware that we may have to forfeit the certificate/ diploma/ degree in the event that plagiarism has been detected after the award.				
	vi.	Notwithstanding the supervision provided to us by the that any alleged act(s) of plagiarism during our stay as re Mauritius is entirely our own responsibility and the employees shall under no circumstances whatsoever b respect of the aforesaid act(s) of plagiarism.	gistered students of the University of University of Mauritius and/or its			
	Signatu	res:	Date:			

GROUP PROJECT/ DISSERTATION SUBMISSION FORM



This form must be completed and handed to the Faculty/ Centre Registry at the time of submission of the two spiral-bound copies and the soft copy of the dissertation in a single PDF text file on electronic storage media.

Name	Student ID	Prog	ramme of Study	Module Code/ Name		
1.						
2.						
3.						
Title of Project/ Disser	tation:					
Word Count:						
Chapter) has been uple provided by the Project	oaded on the Turnitin Pla	atform, as a r/ Programm	single PDF file in the	on up to the Conclusion or last e appropriate class/ assignment t/ Dissertation Coordinator and		
	ber contribution and efforints = less than equal con			100 points (50 points = equal equal contribution)		
Name of Group Mem				Points		
Signatures:				Date:		
2						
3						
A. To be completed l	by the Students			1		
R• To be Completed	by the Supervisor(s)					
Name(s):	by the Supervisor(s)	<u>'</u>				
Comment(s) on the Su	pervision					
	•					
I take cognizance of the Turnitin Platform.	ne Digital Receipt which	includes the	submission date and t	ime of the dissertation through		
Signature:		Date:				
: For Office Use O	nly					
submittedspir	that studentsal-bound copies and at the Faculty/	soft copy	of dissertation in a sin	have ngle PDF text file on electronic		
Officer's Name				Officer's Signature		


UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS FACULTY/ CENTRE



PROJECT PROPOSAL/ SYNOPSIS

Department
Academic Year
Students are hereby informed that they should submit this document (approximately 200 words) to their respective Project/ Dissertation/ Programme Coordinators by one month as from the beginning of Semester 1 at latest.
Student's Name:
Student ID:
Title of dissertation:
Aims and Objectives:
Proposed Methodology (tentative):
Frequency of meeting with supervisor(s)
Start of Project

		••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •	• • • • •
End of Project								
			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • •	
			•••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • •	
Comments, if any								
			••••••	•••••			• • • • • • • • •	
	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••
GANTT CHART				_		_		Ţ
	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
							1	
		a						
Student's Signature:		Supe	rvisor's	Name:				
Date:		Supe	rvisor's	s Signatı	ıre:			
		•		J				
		Date						





Date:

PROGRESS LOG

Stud	lent Name	:			
Stud	lent ID	:			
Depa	artment	:			
Prog	gramme	:			
Title	e of Dissertation	:			
Supe	ervisor(s)	:			
Proj	ect/ Dissertation/ Progr	ramme Coordinator :			
	Your Progress Log serventtainment as a student for	es as a record of your transferable skills and participation and or dissertation purposes.			
• I	ts purpose is to help you	to plan your own dissertation and to record the outcomes.			
	As well as gaining valuable skills, you will find that the information accumulated in this Log will prove helpful during the write up of the dissertation.				
• T	The document belongs to	you and it is your responsibility to keep it up to date.			
	t is your responsibility activities you have under	to ensure your supervisor(s) is/are aware of the dissertation taken.			
You	should sign the approp	oriate statement below when you submit your Progress Log:			
I con	nfirm that the information	n I have given in this Log is a true and accurate record:			

Signed:

PROGRESS LOG

RECORD OF STRATEGIC MEETINGS WITH SUPERVISOR(S)

Meetings	Date	Topics/ Themes Discussed	Comments (If any)	Supervisor's Initials	Student's Initials

Supervisor(s)	Signature(s)	Date

N.B: Both the supervisor(s) and the student should retain a copy of this Project Progress Log. A copy of the duly filled and signed Progress Log should be **included and submitted in the section 'Appendices'** of the Dissertation.



UNDERTAKING BY ALL INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL EXAMINERS AND MODERATORS

FACULTY / CENTRE (UoM)							
DEPARTMENT (UoM)							
I, Prof/ Dr/ Mr/ Mrs/ Ms							
Programme							
Faculty / Centre / Department							
Date							
Postal Address							
Email							
Telephone							
Fax							
Signature							

- NB (1): The Form, once signed by the Examiner/ Moderator will be submitted to the Dean of Faculty/ Centre Director who will send a copy to the Vice-Chancellor.
 - (2): The time limit for the IPR rights to be kept confidential will be for a period of three (3) years.

 Senate Approved 14.09.12



NON-DISCLOSURE FORM FOR STUDENTS (UNDERGRADUATE/ POSTGRADUATE/ MPhil/ PhD AND OTHER RESEARCH PROGRAMMES)

I ACCELL I / CE	IVIKE.	
following the 1	final year of thetitled	Programme will submit my thesis/
ontonicontains mater the Turnitin Reexternal exami disclosed to an whatsoever, ex	as per regulations. I hereby inform the Faculials for IPR/ Commercialisation. I understand the epository. I also request that information/ data/ner(s)/ moderators and the UoM will come acrosyone, nor will s/he/they use any information/ data/cept with my prior written permission. They also mation /data/ methodology/ results, will be kept	ty/ Centre that my dissertation/ thesis at my dissertation will not be stored in methodology/ results that the internal/ss in my thesis/ dissertation, will not be a/ methodology/ results, for any purpose o have to formally sign an undertaking
Programme:		
Faculty/ Centre	a: 	
Date:		
Postal Address	:	
Email:		
Telephone:		
Signature:		
	(i) Supervisor(s):	Date:
	(ii) Head of Department:	Date:
	(iii) Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre:	Date:
	(iv) Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Academia):	Date:
	(v) Vice-Chancellor:	Date:

Note:

FACILITY / CENTRE:

- 1) The form shall be duly filled in and submitted to the relevant Dean/ Director of Faculty/ Centre at least 3 (three) Months before the due date of submission of Thesis/ Dissertation.
- 2) The Form, once signed by the Vice-Chancellor will be copied to the relevant Dean/Director of Faculty/ Centre and to Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Academia).
- 3) The time limit for the IPR rights to be kept confidential will be for a period of three (3) years.

UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS NON-DISCLOSURE FORM FOR STUDENTS WORKING ON RESEARCH PROJECTS



FACULTY / CENTRE (UoM):

Postal Address	:	
Telephone:		
Fax:		
Signature:		
Approved by	(i) Main Investigator/ Researcher:	Date:
	(ii) Head of Department:	Date:
	(iii) Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre:	Date:
	(iv) Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Academia):	Date:
	(v) Vice-Chancellor:	Date:

- NB (1): The Form, once signed by the Vice-Chancellor will be copied to the relevant Dean of Faculty/ Director of Centre.
 - (2): The time limit for the IPR rights to be kept confidential will be for a period of three (3) years.

Updated by QA on 10.06.2021